Low frequency sound field reconstruction in a non-rectangular room

Hervé Lissek EPFL – LTS2 / Acoustic Group







• Music rendering at low-frequencies









CONTEXT

• Transportation noise immissions in inhabitations







Room resonances at low-frequencies



Room resonances due to standing waves: in 1 D

Ex in 1D, with 2 hard walls: pressure distribution follows eigenmodes $\Phi_n(x)$

• Eigenvalues (wavelengths, frequencies)

Mode 1 $\lambda_1 = 2L, f_1 = \frac{c}{2L}$

Mode 2
$$\lambda_2 = L, f_2 = \frac{c}{L}$$

Mode 3
$$\lambda_3=\frac{2L}{3}, f_3=\frac{3c}{2L}$$

Mode n $\lambda_n=\frac{2L}{n}, f_n=\frac{nc}{2L}$

SGA SSA Simulations acoustiques des locaux et des bâtiments possibilités et limites 22. & 23. novembre 2023 Trato Bade



In 3D, for simple shoebox shapes and 6 hard walls, pressure distribution follows eigenmodes

$$\Phi_{n_x n_y n_z}(x, y, z) = \cos\left(\frac{n_x \pi x}{l_x}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n_y \pi y}{l_y}\right) \cos\left(\frac{n_z \pi z}{l_z}\right)$$

where $(n_x, n_y, n_z) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ are non-simultaneously equal to zero

• Eigenfrequencies:

$$f_n = \frac{c}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_x}{l_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n_y}{l_y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n_z}{l_z}\right)^2} \qquad n = [n_x n_y n_z]$$



Room resonances due to standing waves

• In 3D, for simple shoebox shapes and 6 hard walls, f_n are real values.

For a source with flow velocity \mathbf{q}_0 located at position $\vec{r}_0(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ The measured pressure at receiver position $\vec{r}(x, y, z)$ is $p_{\omega}(\mathbf{r}) \propto \sum \frac{\Phi_n(\mathbf{r})\Phi_n(\mathbf{r}_0)}{(f_n^2 - f^2)}$





Room resonances due to standing waves

• In 3D, for simple shoebox shapes and 6 lightly damped walls, f_n are complex values

For a source with flow velocity q_0 located at position $\vec{r}_0(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ The measured pressure at receiver position $\vec{r}(x, y, z)$ is $p_{\omega}(\mathbf{r}) \propto \sum_n \frac{\Phi_n(\mathbf{r})\Phi_n(\mathbf{r}_0)}{j\frac{\delta_n}{\pi}\operatorname{Im}(f_n) + (\operatorname{Re}(f_n)^2 - f^2)}$



Limits of analytical models

- Limitations of analytical models of rooms at low frequencies
 - shoebox shapes → not suited for most rooms
 - Only lightly-damped walls
 - Only 6 independant wall impedance/absorption properties
 - Not suited for **discrete sound absorbers**, such as bass traps or active sound absorbers



GIK Acoustics Corner Bass traps

Journées d'automne 2023

nulations acoustiques des locaux et des bâtiments possibilités et limites

22. & 23. novembre 2023



EPFL - Active Electroacoustic absorber



PSI Audio AVAA C20 Active sound absorber



PSI Audio AVAA C214 Active sound absorber





FEM models (COMSOL Multiphysics) for rooms at LF

- Requires 3D models
 - Pressure Acoustics, Frequency Domain
 - Possible studies:
 - Eigenfrequency: solving the eigenvalues problem (eigenfrequencies and eigenmodes)
 - Frequency domain: simulating room responses with the presence of source(s)
 - Time domain: also possible, but not shown here





Model design

- Ex: shoebox of 5x4x3 m
 - Simulations up to 160 Hz \rightarrow max. mesh size $d_{mesh} = \frac{c}{6f_{max}} = 35.7 \text{cm}$
 - Find eigenfrequencies between 0 Hz and 160 Hz
 - Number of nodes:
 - 21'431 domain elements
 - 1'852 boundary elements
 - 136 edge elements







1. Eigenfrequency

- Compute 45 first resonances (~160 Hz)
- Model set in less than 5 minutes
- Computation time:
 - 2 minutes (!) (COMSOL 6.1 on a laptop / Windows 10 64 bits / Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8650U / 16 GB RAM)
 - 31 s (COMSOL 6.1 on PC / Windows 11, 64 bits / 11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i9-11900 / 32 GB RAM)



1. Eigenfrequency

- Accounting for slightly-damping walls (eg. Z_{walls} =100. Z_{c})
 - Complex eigenfrequencies
 - Possibility to derive modal decay times

Re(fn)	Im(fn)	MT60n
34.058	5.422	1.274
42.731	5.694	1.213
54.806	6.800	1.016
57.149	6.148	1.124
66.662	7.255	0.952
68.655	5.401	1.279
71.479	7.527	0.918
79.286	8.638	0.800
80.958	6.782	1.019
85.897	5.667	1.219
89.386	7.238	0.954
92.502	6.776	1.020
99.143	8.625	0.801

Eigenfrequency=34.058+5.4216i Hz









2. Frequency domain: frequency response

- Simulate frequency response from source at position (000) and 3 microphones positions
- Model set in less than 5 minutes
- Computation time:
 - 12 minutes (!) (COMSOL 6.1 on a laptop / Windows 10 64 bits / Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8650U / 16 GB RAM)
 - 5 minutes (COMSOL 6.1 on PC / Windows 11, 64 bits / 11th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i9-11900 / 32 GB RAM)







2. Frequency domain: spatial response

• Unique way to have access to sound field distribution throughout the room









Example 1: Simulation of arbitrary shapes





Complex rooms: reverberant chamber

- Not accessible through analytical model
- Example 1: Hard-walls

Journées d'automne 2023

Trafo Bade

Simulations acoustiques des locaux et des bâtiments possibilités et limites

22. & 23. novembre 2023

- Only 4 minutes of computing (with PC / windows11-64 bits / Intel i9 / 32 GB RAM)
- Hardwalls → real eigenfrequencies







Complex rooms: reverberant chamber

- Not accessible through analytical model
- Example 2: Slightly damped walls
 - Complex eigenfrequencies
 - Possibility to derive modal decay times $MT_{60_n} = \frac{3\ln(10)}{2\pi \operatorname{Im}(f_n)}$

Re(<i>f</i> _n) (Hz)	lm(f _n) (Hz)	MT60 _n (s)
20.65	0.36	19.10
26.67	0.39	17.71
35.16	0.44	15.80
40.56	0.41	16.94
40.88	0.40	17.35
45.25	0.50	13.70
49.16	0.49	14.09
51.41	0.44	15.79
53.27	0.43	16.01
55.07	0.53	12.98
56.97	0.51	13.46
59.19	0.42	16.55
60.83	0.40	17.24
65.61	0.55	12.59
67.64	0.53	13.05
69.57	0.46	14.92





SGA SSA Simulations acoustiques des locaux et des bâtiments possibilités et limites 22. & 23. novembre 2023 Trafo Baden

18 EPFL

100

Sound pressure field simulation at low-frequencies

- Goal: visualize the complex sound pressure distribution (nodes / antinodes) in a room
 - Mode shapes are more complex and unpredictable
 - Identification of hot spots and zones of silences
 - Optimal location of compact low-frequency sound absorbers



19



Sound pressure field reconstruction at low-frequencies

- Benchmark for an experimental method of Sound Field Reconstruction at LF
 - Possibility to reconstruct the sound field spatial distribution out of a limited number of microphone measurements









Sound pressure field reconstruction at low-frequencies

- FEM Analysis of a non-rectangular room model
 - Lightly-damped walls with $lpha_{
 m wall}$ =0.01
 - 600 randomly-spaced «virtual» microphones 30 used as input the remaining for validation
 - Sound field estimation in a rectangular-shaped volume inside the room (1 m away from walls)

Compare with «real» sound field distribution (full COMSOL simulation)







Sound pressure field reconstruction at low-frequencies



(full simulation)

Journées d'automne 2023

Trafo Bader

Simulations acoustiques des locaux et des bâtiments possibilités et limites

22. & 23. novembre 2023



Numerical validation

• Broadband performance



Reconstructed sound field (out of 30 microphones)

Reference sound field (full simulation)

Thach Pham Vu, Hervé Lissek, Low frequency sound field reconstruction in a non-rectangular room using a small number of microphones, Acta Acust. 4 (2) 5 (2020) DOI: 10.1051/aacus/2020006





Numerical validation

• We can even play with wall damping







Numerical model to benchmark experimental data

possibilités et limites

Trafo Baden

22. & 23. novembre 2023



25 EPFL

Example 2: Application to active sound absorbers





Model of discrete active sound absorbers









• Impact on eigenmodes and eigenfrequencies

Model of discrete active sound absorbers

Control off Control on 3 3 2 ^m 2 ^m 20 (dB re. 15 1Pa.s/m³) 10 3 3 (m) 2 z 3 Ê2 <u>٤</u>2 6 x (m) y (m) bsttagung 20 y (m) x (m) 2 y (m)

Mode @ 45.25 Hz

Mode @ 55.07 Hz





Model of discrete active sound absorbers

- Frequency responses
 - with 4 active electroacoustic absorbers,
 - one source at one corner
 - one microphone at another corner







29 EPFL

Optimization of active absorbers settings

• Test different values of active impedance $Z_{target} = \zeta Z_c$

and visualize the effect on intensity streams and sound pressure distribution





Conclusions

- COMSOL takes more time to compute room acoustics at low frequencies for simple room shapes
 - Not necessarily more complex to build a model (for expert, less than 5 minutes to set up)
 - But the FEM processing takes more time than simple analytical models
- But indispensable for
 - complex-shaped rooms:
 - eigenfrequencies, mode shapes, modal decay time
 - Frequency response of the room
 - simulating the effect of discrete sound absorbers
 - optimizing their settings and placement





Thank you for your attention



